

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/681,523	Applicant(s) LI ET AL.	
	Examiner LIN LIU	Art Unit 2145	

All Participants:

(1) LIN LIU.

(2) Mark P. Watson.

Date of Interview: 4 April 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
(4) _____.

Time: 1:30-2:30pm

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
101

Claims discussed:
23, 25-29 and 31-32

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason D Cardone/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephonic interview was conducted with applicant's representative Mark P. Watson in discussing the amendment submitted. Examiner proposed examiner's amendment to applicant's representative to further narrow the claims for further examination. Applicant's representative agreed with examiner's amendment.